

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/648,484	08/28/2000	Shuji Soga	500.38950X00	5764
20457 75	590 08/29/2002			
ANTONELLI TERRY STOUT AND KRAUS SUITE 1800 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET			EXAMINER	
			BORISSOV, IGOR N	
	ARLINGTON, VA 22209		•	
	/		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3629	
		DATE MAILED: 08/29/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/648,484	SOGA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Igor Borissov	3629				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute,  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	of (a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		·				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 A</u>						
,	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	The month of hold of distances.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers	·					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		•				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/648,484

Art Unit: 3629

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-3, 6-7 and 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Suzuki et al. (U. S. 5,965,858).

Suzuki et al. teach a manufactured article recycling system and method, comprising:
As per claims 1, 6 and 9,

- managing identification information of an issued recycle certificate and sales information of a recycle certificate sold to a discharger with a relation corresponding to each other to form recycle certificate information (Abstract; column 9, line 60 through column 10, line 25; column 21, line 61 through column 22, line 47);

Application/Control Number: 09/648,484 Page 3

Art Unit: 3629

- calcylating a recycle certificate sales price based on contents of said recycle certificate information and demanding and receiving said calculated recycle certificate sales price (Abstract; column 40, line 48 through column 41, line 42).

As per claims 2-3, 7 and 10,

- managing ID information of a recycle certificate given to a used product and information indicating the progress of recycling of said used product with a relation corresponding to each other to form recycle certificate information (Abstract; column 9, line 60 through column 10, line 25; column 21, line 61 through column 22, line 47);

- calculating the fee of each progress stage of used product recycle based on contents of said recycle certificate information and paying said fee from a sales price of said recycle certificate given to said used product (Abstract; column 40, line 6 through column 41, line 42).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4-5, 8 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki et al. in view of Kameshima et al. (JP406211301A, Abstract).

As per claims 4-5, 8 and 11, Suzuki et al. teach all the limitations of claims 4-5, 8 and 11, except refunding an amount of refund to a discharger when said used product discharged by said discharger is not recycled.

Application/Control Number: 09/648,484

Art Unit: 3629

Kameshima et al. teach a resource recycling and product distribution system and method,

wherein an accounting route of recycling expenses is established, and compensation money are

transmitted from a recovery plant to a manufacturing plant (Abstract).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify Suzuki et al.

to include refunding an amount of refund to a discharger when said used product is not recycled

because it is a common business practice not to charge for a service which was not provided.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure (see form PTO-892).

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Igor Borissov at

telephone number (703) 305-4649.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

Supervisor, John Weiss, can be reached at (703) 308-2702.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 305-7687

[Official communications; including After Final communications labeled

"Box AF"]

Page 4

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, 7<sup>th</sup> floor receptionist.

JOHN G. WEISS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

print